

| Overview and Scrutiny Committee – CALL IN REQUEST | |
|---|---|
| Cabinet, Individual Cabinet Member Decision or Key Officer Decision and date of decision. | Cabinet Decision on Wednesday 13 th September 2023 |
| DECISION FOR CALL IN | Minute 9/ I, II, III, IV: New Lido Facility in the Borough |
| (title of report and specific decision to be called in with minute number) | |
| CALLED IN BY (List names of those calling in decision) | Cllrs Julian Gallant, Anthony Young, Seema Kumar, Fabio Conti, Gregory Stafford, Anthony Young, Gary Malcolm, Athena Zissimos, Connie Hersch, Gary Busuttil, Jon Ball and Andrew Steed |
| Which of the following principles do you believe the decision did not take into account: | Please give detailed reasons in the boxes below why you believe the principles of decision making have not been taken into account |
| Proportionality (i.e. the action must be proportionate to the desired outcome) | To question whether a new outdoor Lido will meet Ealing Council's desired health outcomes |
| Due consultation and the taking of professional advice from officers | To question whether officers advised that a Lido facility could ever be built on Ealing's public parks or common land. |
| Respect for human rights and equalities | A new Lido will by definition only benefit residents living close by the facility and not all Ealing residents. |
| A presumption in favour of openness | To question why the decision to move ahead with the Lido was not introduced with substantial notice. Decision to move ahead without full costing suggests no real intention to build the facility. To question if the proposed Lido project is simply a distraction from stalled plans to redevelop the Gurnell Leisure Centre. |

| Clarity of aims and desired outcomes (i.e. link between corporate strategy and implementation) | To question why no sporting facilities apart from swimming are planned on the site of the proposed Lido facility. |
|--|--|
| | To question whether Ealing needs an outdoor swimming facility that can only be used for a few months in the year. |
| | To give details of any negative effect on the flora and fauna in the area caused by any works or relating to the Lido facility. |
| | To question whether a major West London Lido facility can be planned without provision of substantial parking included. |
| Consistency with the Council's Budget and Policy Framework, Contract, Financial and other Procedure Rules, legislative requirements and any other requirements set out in this Constitution. | To understand whether the proposed Lido could be funded by monies from sports bodies etc. rather than the Council's capital fund. |
| | Expand on how data centres might be used and whether there is potential within this project to save money or help environmentally using data centres in combination with a pools or lidos. |

Notes:

Cabinet decisions may be called in for further consideration upon receipt by the proper officer, within *five* clear days of the publication of a decision, of a requisition:

- signed by five members of the council, from more than one political group*, who are not members of the cabinet or:
- ii) signed by all the members representing a ward where the decision affects that ward only (unless a pecuniary interest exists in the matter in which case action may be initiated by the remaining ward member(s); or.
- iii) Signed by the chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee
- *A member sitting independently from political group arrangements being included on a call-in would also satisfy this requirement.

Cabinet decisions may also be called in by Overview and Scrutiny Committee or by any Standing Scrutiny Panel, in accordance with Article 6 of the Council constitution.

A decision may only be the subject of one call in.

All requisitions for call in shall refer to a specific decision (or decisions) within a report and provide a detailed reason. A decision may only be the subject of one call in. A decision may only be called in if, when taking the decision, the decision maker didn't follow the principles of decision making as outlined above. The signatories to the call-in must give a clear explanation as to how these principles were not followed when the decision was taken:

Please return this signed form to <u>Democraticservices@ealing.gov.uk</u> (copy in Sam Bailey <u>baileysa@ealing.gov.uk</u> Head of Democratic Services and Linda Zimmerman zimmermal@ealing.gov.uk Committee Team Manager)